ABOUT THE DOCUMENT

WHH’s food system framework is an operational guidance for practitioners. This document is intended to orient Welthungerhilfe (WHH) staff and partners to:
(a) the food system transformation we strive for (our ambition),
(b) our priority areas, and
(c) how we contribute to local food system transformation (our approach).

It will be accompanied by a toolbox of hands-on guidance for use by WHH programs and projects. The framework will further evolve with on the ground experiences and global trends. For more information, please contact WHH’s Sector Strategy and Knowledge Unit.

Contact:
jasmin.koottummel@welthungerhilfe.de
hendrik.haenke@welthungerhilfe.de
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In many countries, food and nutrition security (FNS) has deteriorated in recent years. Around 828 million people are undernourished and around 3 billion people cannot afford a healthy diet. The vicious combination of violent conflicts, the economic fallout associated with Covid-19, global food price hikes, and climate variability and extremes produce a grim prospect for FNS worldwide. Achieving zero hunger for all at all times requires transformational changes on the global level as well as on regional and local levels. This involves reconsidering how food is produced, processed, distributed, and prepared; how food supply chains are controlled and regulated; how food is consumed; how all stakeholders, including women and other marginalized groups, participate in the system; and how we consider and balance sustainability trade-offs.¹

Welthungerhilfe believes that a systemic transformation toward sustainable and resilient food systems is both possible and necessary to achieve zero hunger. A sustainable and resilient food system supports sustainable food and nutrition security for all at all times by offering multiple pathways for accessing the right to food in ways that improve livelihoods, mitigate against and adapt to climate change, and reverse biodiversity loss and environmental degradation.
Welthungerhilfe’s vision is a world in which all people have the right and the opportunity to live a self-determined life in dignity and justice, free from hunger and poverty.
Food systems compromise the various activities, people, and places involved in the production, processing, distribution, preparation and consumption of food, as well as the food and nutrition, socioeconomic, and environmental outcomes of these interactions. Sustainable and resilient food systems provide food and nutrition security for all in ways that do not compromise the economic, social, and environmental basis of future generations’ food and nutrition security and that can adapt and transform themselves in the face of shocks and stresses.2,3

“Food and nutrition security exists when all people, at all times, have physical, social and economic access to food, which is safe and consumed in sufficient quantity and quality to meet their dietary needs and food preferences, and is supported by an environment of adequate sanitation, health services and care, allowing for a healthy and active life.”4
The core ambition of our food system framework is to nourish sustainable and resilient food systems that provide safe and healthy diets for all. We affirm the agency of all people and support them in exerting their right to food. We form local partnerships with food system stakeholders to assess, envision, design and enact a transformation toward sustainable and resilient food systems that enable sustainable and healthy diets for all at times through improvements in food availability, accessibility, affordability and consumption. In doing so, we follow systemic transformation pathways toward sustainable and resilient food systems, characterized by (a) sustainable and resilient agriculture, (b) sustainable livelihoods, (c) connectivity, and (d) responsible governance. Our interventions contribute to improvements in nutrition, livelihoods, and adaptive capacities of the people, communities and systems we work with.
Food system transformation is a multi-stakeholder endeavor on global, national and sub-national (local) level.

At the local level WHH and our partners assume three roles in systemic change processes toward sustainable and resilient food systems:

1. **AS A FACILITATOR**
   - We facilitate multi-stakeholder processes toward systemic transformation of local food systems through, for example, facilitating in-depth assessment, analysis and transformation processes on sub-national levels in accordance with national commitments.

2. **AS A CONTRIBUTOR**
   - We collaborate on joint transformation processes that are not primarily facilitated by WHH. Thereby, we concentrate on a systemic change process of a specific key variable within the identified local food system, for example, zooming into the system to work on systemic solutions toward sustainable and resilient food production, like focusing on farm diversity or seed sector development.

3. **AS AN IMPLEMENTER**
   - We address immediate food system dysfunctions through direct input support. This may be necessary, for instance, within a conflict-sensitive context where an immediate humanitarian response through cash and voucher assistance is required to prevent a partial or complete collapse of a local market system.

Depending on country-specific needs, we collaborate with local and international partners and may assume all three roles simultaneously under the umbrella of a country strategy by WHH.
Both within and outside of the scope of our programmatic work, we also take on the role of an advocate to amplify our local partners’ calls for structural change in the Global North and South.
Welthungerhilfe’s decades of regional and technical experience in Africa, Asia, and Latin America have yielded concrete approaches to the progression toward sustainable food and nutrition security.

Hence, we pursue systemic change processes through multi-sectoral and integrated programs. Especially in volatile contexts, we acknowledge that systemic transformation processes take local system actors through phases of immediate support needs and various transition loops along the path toward transformation.

How? Through cross-sectoral assessments and iterative analysis processes, we observe behavior patterns within and key characteristics and dynamics of local food systems to address underlying system dysfunctionalities. Through facilitation and collaborative action, our food system approach aims at context-specific transformation processes – be it on the household, community, village, district, provincial, or national level.

Being a professional, multi-mandated, and locally trusted organization that works primarily in fragile contexts, WHH collaborates with those affected by economic shocks and forced displacement, including internally displaced persons, refugees, and host communities as well as the broader spectrum of system actors. In partnership, we work from a starting point of short-term assistance to long-term transformation pathways of resilient and sustainable key variables within a food system.

We value the central role of civil society organizations in advocating for people’s right to food. Whenever possible, we support our civil society partners and governance and market actors in raising awareness of vulnerable population groups’ rights and entitlements; sensitizing local governments on the right to food and the needs of food- and nutrition-insecure populations; strengthening civil society groups at community level and beyond to participate in decision-making, claim their rights, and hold their government to account; and building the capacities of service providers to improve service delivery to ensure sustainable food and nutrition security.
OUR GUIDING PRINCIPLES
TO FOSTER SUSTAINABLE AND RESILIENT FOOD SYSTEMS TOWARD SAFE AND HEALTHY DIETS FOR ALL

The right to food (Article 11)

We act in solidarity with all rights holders, based on our unconditional commitment to the human right to sufficient quantity and quality of food and a healthy life (Article 11 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights). We aim to empower rights holders and to strengthen the delivery of services and accountability of governmental, market, and civil society actors.

Systems thinking

We aim to achieve positive food and nutrition outcomes and to transform the food systems that produce those outcomes. We think and work systemically by facilitating structural change and locally led innovations to improve food system trajectories. We comprehensively identify and address necessary levers for change and work with the local population, civil society, governments, and markets as necessary to facilitate change via a multisectoral approach.
**Inclusive participation and partnerships**

We enable the inclusive participation of all affected stakeholders in the design and definition of food system transformation goals and processes. The food system stakeholders’ needs and perspectives form the basis for jointly defined challenges, opportunities, and assessments, which result in a common vision for food system transformation. Stakeholders actively engage in the design, implementation, and measurement of food system transformation projects and programs. We build strong and long-lasting partnerships to advance transformation toward sustainable and resilient food systems to achieve zero hunger for all.

**Evidence-based programming**

We base system transformation projects and programs on sound assessments of how the targeted food system functions or malfunctions and the leverage points that improve its outcomes. We routinely assess the food system trajectory and adapt our projects and programs to emerging system characteristics and surprises. We contribute locally and globally to a right-fit, rigorous evidence base on successful practice in food system transformation. We scale effective approaches within and across projects, programs, and contexts in collaboration with partners and system stakeholders.
Feedback loops and adaptive management

We review and adapt the design, implementation, and impact of food system transformation projects and programs based on the evidence and learning that emerges from food system assessments, change measurement, and stakeholder feedback. We accept that working in complex systems (such as food systems) often requires iterating and following non-linear transformation pathways. Identifying and correcting mistakes and ensuring collaboration and transparency are central to our adaptive management strategy.

Guiding Principles

1. The right to food
2. Systems thinking
3. Inclusive participation and partnerships
4. Evidence-based programming
5. Feedback loops and adaptive management
In acknowledgement of the complexity of food systems and the interconnections within them, we have defined a set of discrete steps for use in WHH programs and projects working toward systemic change.

We apply an operational framework consisting of six phases: scoping, diagnosing, visioning, designing, transforming, and measuring and adapting. Depending on the implementation context, the phases can be treated nonlinearly and adapted according to local needs and local stakeholder engagement.
Zooming out: Transformation to what end, for whom and with whom?

During the scoping phase, we map out to which extent the regional or local food system achieves its outcomes with respect to food and nutrition security, resilience, and sustainability. This first mapping exercise is crucial to define the scope (incl. system boundaries) for the upcoming phases. Based on the food system outcomes that need to be improved, we map out the system boundaries, actors, and behaviors.

Key questions in phase 1 can be answered based on observations as well as secondary data, e.g., existing statistics, assessment and analysis reports or rapid needs assessments. It is also sensible to collect primary data using methods such as nutrition and baseline surveys, context analyses, or expert interviews. In many places, we have already done nutrition and baseline surveys and other important assessments. These can be accessed through WHH’s Sector Strategy and Learning Unit.

To estimate how much food is required to meet nutritional needs, explore what end the food system transformation is needed to achieve zero hunger and map out the current status. We recommend an analysis of the country rating in the Global Hunger Index (WHI) in combination with a review of country-specific food system profiles at the Food System Dashboard by Global Alliance for Improved Nutrition (GAIN) and Johns Hopkins University, 2020: https://www.foodsystemsdashboard.org/countries

1. Explore **to what end** a food system transformation is needed to achieve zero hunger and map out the current status.

   We recommend an analysis of the country rating in the Global Hunger Index (WHI) in combination with a review of country-specific food system profiles at the Food System Dashboard by Global Alliance for Improved Nutrition (GAIN) and Johns Hopkins University, 2020: https://www.foodsystemsdashboard.org/countries

2. Explore **for whom** a food system transformation is needed: During scoping, disaggregate food system outcomes for each stakeholder group in the food system, giving special attention to marginalized and nutritionally vulnerable groups.

   Identify which groups “lose out” in the current system and would most benefit from food system transformation. Ensure full and influential participation of all stakeholder groups, including women and marginalized groups, in defining for whom and to what end a transformation needs to happen.

3. Explore **with whom** the food system needs to be transformed: Roughly define the food system and its boundaries, including the geographical scope and stakeholders.

   - **Tool Tip**: We recommend holding an inclusive stakeholder workshop to review compiled answers to the key questions and define system boundaries, including the geographic scope and stakeholders, in as much detail as possible. The stakeholder registry typically needs to be updated regularly. This helps to develop a common understanding of knowledge gaps about how the system works and to start developing a common vision for change.

   For more inspiration, we recommend the following toolbox: The food system decision support tool – a toolbox for food system analysis. Wageningen University & Research and KIT Royal Tropical Institute.
We recommend ensuring full and influential participation of all stakeholders, including women, youth, and other marginalized groups, in defining who is the most affected by the current dysfunctionalities in the food system. Pregnant and lactating women and children under five, smallholder farmers, market actors, rural youth, and marginalized community members (like IDPs, refugees, returnees) remain at the center of our attention when answering the question “transformation for whom?”.
FOOD SYSTEM TRANSFORMATION
WITH WHOM

CONSUMERS
RURAL MARKET ACTORS
RURAL & URBAN YOUTH
URBAN MARKET ACTORS
LOCAL INNOVATORS
LOCAL GOVERNANCE ACTORS
**SIX PHASES TOWARD FOOD SYSTEM TRANSFORMATION**

**PHASE 02: DIAGNOSING**

**KEY STEPS:**

1. **Assess: How does the system (mal)function?**
   - Appraise which activities occur in the food system, which actors perform these activities, and how actors interrelate and depend on each other. Which services occur in the food system and who provides, pays for, uses, and regulates them? Which inputs are used to produce the food system outcomes? Who does an does not have access to productive resources, markets, and financial services? Who makes decisions over participation in food system activities?

2. **Assess: Why does the system (mal)function?**
   - Investigate which factors help and hamper the system activities. What motivates or demotivates actors to behave and interact in a way that produces desired food system outcomes? Which barriers exist to the full inclusion of stakeholders in crucial activities? Which structural barriers and norms help and hamper efforts to reduce actors’ vulnerability and exposure to shocks?

Our food system ambition is to reach safe and healthy diets for all at all times. In the diagnosis phase, we map the current status and desired status of key levers to reach transformation toward sustainable and resilient food systems and its respective FNS outcomes. The diagnosis phase will help to identify key levers for action:

What malfunctions in the food system, what functions well but does not achieve its full potential, and why? To understand the levers (sometimes also referred to as key variables), specific assessments are needed. Depending on why a transformation is needed, we select or combine general, governance, market, sociocultural, ecological, or sustainability assessment tools. When choosing assessment tools, we are mindful of budget, time, and capacity constraints that we might face in each context **SEE FIGURE 3**. Deep-dive assessments are needed but should be seen as iterative processes during adaptive program management processes.

**Phase 2 key questions** require primary data collection through focus groups, key informant interviews, expert interviews, field observations or experiments, or scorecards. The exact data collection methods depend on the type(s) of assessment planned.
SYSTEMS THINKING – A POCKET GUIDE FOR ASSESSMENTS

To help users better understand systems thinking and how to bring about food system transformation, the following ‘pocket guide’ developed by the Rockefeller Foundation can be a helpful aid.

1. Focus inside the boundaries of your system; avoid getting drawn into the web of the entire cosmos.
2. Look for patterns in the way a system has behaved in the past.
3. Study the relationships between different elements in your system.
4. Aim to understand the system, don’t aim to fix it; this is how the solutions emerge.
5. Don’t pull the system into a static solution but gently nudge it into the desired direction.
7. Be flexible with your ideas, thoughts and process; allow them to evolve.
8. Zoom in and out repeatedly between micro and macro workings of a system and its elements.
9. There is no one solution that can fix a system; seek to understand how each solution impacts the system, including negative unintended effects, relative to another.

Source: Food Systems Analysis Toolkit: A Tool to Accelerate Food Systems Transformation, By the Food System Transformative Integrated Policy (FS-TIP) initiative, September 2021
**CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR FOOD SYSTEM ASSESSMENTS**

**EXTERNAL SHOCKS**

- Violent conflict
- Natural disasters
- Migration
- Drought
- Famine
- Economic shocks
- Governmental collapse
- Biodiversity loss

**SUPPORTING FUNCTIONS**

- Agricultural inputs
- Research & development
- Skills
- Resources
- Information
- Infrastructure
- Related services

**FOOD SUPPLY SYSTEM**

OUTPUT: SAFE AND HEALTHY DIETS FOR ALL

- Agricultural production
- Food storage, transport, and trade
- Food processing and value addition
- Food retailing and provisioning
- Diversified food consumption

**RULES & NORMS**

- Laws and regulation
- Policies
- Standards
- Traditions
- Informal rules and norms

**FOOD WASTE AND DISPOSAL**

**IMPACT LEVEL:**
Transformation towards sustainable and resilient food systems; Food System contribution to sustainable food and nutrition security; food system contribution to improved livelihoods and adaptive capacities.

**OUTCOME LEVEL:**
Improved availability, access and affordability of, and consumption of adequate, safe and healthy diets for all; inclusive, fair and resilient livelihoods; improved socio-economic and environmental outcomes.

**FOOD SUPPLY SYSTEM**

The core system within a food system is the food supply system which ensures the flow of food commodities from farm to fork.

**EXTERNAL SHOCKS**

External shocks can affect the core system, supporting functions, or related rules and norms at any time and at any intensity.

**SUPPORTING FUNCTIONS**

Food supply systems can only develop and survive if there are supporting functions: services, resources, and infrastructure. With rice, for example, the supply chain might rely on factors such as access to transport, irrigation services, agricultural inputs, and credit.

**RULES & NORMS**

Food supply chains and supporting functions do not happen in isolation. They are always subject to an institutional context or business environment – laws, regulations, standards, social rules, and behaviors that influence when, where, and how exchanges and flows take place. These determine the power of buyers and sellers within a food system. For example, by preventing (or enabling) monopolistic behavior, regulations influence how economic benefits are distributed. Governments, regulatory agencies, infrastructure providers, and business associations often create these rules, but they can also be shaped by broader societal values and attitudes, such as cultural attitudes that restrict women’s access to work.

---

**FIG. 2: CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR FOOD SYSTEM ASSESSMENTS**

A journey of co-creation

During the visioning phase, we reflect on the findings of assessments with the affected system stakeholders then co-create the goal and scope of the desired food system transformation. The local engagement of multiple stakeholders is key to creating a common vision, defining solution pathways, and coordinating action for common goals.

**KEY STEPS:**

1. **Refine the scope of the transformation:** Jointly validate and refine the scope of the transformation that emerged from the diagnosis and assessment phases. Which system outcomes should be improved? Who should primarily benefit from the improvements? Within which functional and geographic system boundaries should the transformation take place? Which stakeholders need to be included in the process?

2. **Envision the future system:** Use a birds’ eye perspective to define the main features of the food system in its current state and in its envisioned transformed state in an inclusive and collaborative setting with the affected stakeholders. Refrain from active agenda setting and focus efforts on creating an inclusive space for the affected stakeholders.

3. **Identify key levers for transformation:** In comparing the current state and the envisioned transformed state of the food system, identify which key levers could be used to catalyze the desired transformation.

**TOOL TIP**

The following tools have been proven to help create a vision for change:

**General tools**
- 3D Mapping, causal loop diagrams
  (e.g., using Visual Paradigm), Rich Picture, Soft Systems Methodology

**Market system tools**
The illustrative key levers displayed in the graphic are intended to guide practitioners during the visioning phase. They are based on discourses and experiences in the sector as well as among WHH and our partners. The key levers are inexhaustive and will be updated regularly.

FIG. 3: ILLUSTRATIVE KEY LEVERS TOWARD SUSTAINABLE AND RESILIENT FOOD SYSTEMS
Source: own source, WHH (JK/HH)
SIX PHASES TOWARD FOOD SYSTEM TRANSFORMATION

PHASE 04: DESIGNING

KEY STEPS:

1. **Identify approaches to transform the key levers**: Based on existing knowledge and evidence of interventions that may work, select or develop multi-level systems change approaches to advance the transformation vision. Working from existing approaches may allow access to existing evidence and quality standards for transformation process success, but should always be done with care.

2. **Formulate a theory of change**: Design a theory of change, results chain(s), and/or logframe(s). Results chains and logframes are often necessary to monitor change against transformation process targets and whether a transformation results in changes at the outcome level. However, they also tend to linearize non-linear causal relationships and thus need to be implemented alongside additional measurement methods (see step 6).

3. **Broker a commitment to act**: Facilitate and agree on clear mutual commitments in transformation activities from system stakeholders. Formalize relationships with partners by setting up partnership agreements, if necessary. Exchange information and coordinate activities with other actors who contribute to food system transformation and zero hunger through other activities, projects, or programs.

Designing local action

In the design phase, we collaboratively develop a local action plan of how a transformation should happen in a systematic way and what our role and our partners’ role will be on the program and project levels. It is a phase where informed decision making is taking place:

We focus on the identified solutions, assess their effects on the system and related sub-systems, and prioritize areas of action within the system.

**TOOL TIP**

Literature research, evidence gap maps, systematic reviews of impact evaluations, expert advice and stakeholder workshops can support phase 4.

In addition, Market System Development (MSD) tools and Multi-Actor Partnerships (MAPs) have been proven useful tools in Phase 4, as well as Sustainable Integrated Farming Systems (SIFS) and Area Protection as ecological levers.
Food system transformation is a continuous process. As opposed to a transition, which is the process of changing from one status to another (e.g., changing from diesel to solar energy sources), we use the term “transforming” in acknowledgement that change is already on the way and is an iterative process in any given system.

During the transforming phase, we implement activities and interventions to transform food system components or food systems through projects and programs as delineated in Steps 1 to 4. Good coordination of activities with other actors is key in step 5.
Monitor system status change: Monitor intended and unintended changes of system outcomes. This can be done through baselines, endlines, annual participant-based surveys, community feedback and complaints, and qualitative data collection methods. Qualitative context monitoring may also be useful, particularly in fragile and volatile environments.

Monitor and evaluate system trajectory change: Monitor and evaluate intended and unintended, internally and externally caused structural changes, i.e., changes in interrelations, dynamics, or perspectives. Exemplary methods include Sentinel Indicators, Causal Link Monitoring, Social Network Analysis, and Adopt-Adapt-Expand-Respond Framework.

Evaluate system change contributions: Evaluate whether and how a project or program contributes to system trajectory changes. Exemplary methods include Outcome Harvesting, Contribution Analysis, and Process Tracing.

Collaborate, learn, and adapt: Foster a learning culture, develop and implement learning plans where appropriate, reflect on results and learnings, and adapt the design and implementation of the transformation process. Key activities and methods that can be useful include designing and implementing stakeholder communication plans, Pause & Reflect sessions, after action reviews, feedback reviews, theory of change reviews, causal loop diagrams, and scenario planning.
Across sectors, WHH aims to contribute to systems transformation.

While all programs and projects should be based on a system analysis, action for change can cover both systemic approaches as well as conventional input-output approaches. The WHH systems marker helps staff and partners to categorize the types of system transformation projects that are implemented and find projects with similar approaches: It assesses our contributions to systems as uninformed (reinforcing existing system characteristics, e.g. promotion of monocultures), responsive (addressing system gaps), and transformative (engaging in multi-stakeholder processes for long-term system transformation).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY 1</th>
<th>CATEGORY 2</th>
<th>CATEGORY 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SYSTEM UNINFORMED</strong></td>
<td><strong>SYSTEM RESPONSIVE</strong></td>
<td><strong>SYSTEM TRANSFORMATIVE</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Programming that works within a system without targeting system transformation; it rather reinforces existing system characteristics. It does not consider how system gaps impede the achievement of programming outcomes or how programming negatively affects the system or internal feedbacks loops.

Programming that addresses existing (unsustainable) system characteristics and that aims to contribute to a system’s behavior change. Programs and projects identify system dysfunctions and respond to these.

System-responsive programming meets the immediate needs of the system and develops and/or strengthens key variables in a system before addressing multi-level transformative objectives.

It is about covering immediate needs of a system and developing and/or strengthening key variables in a system before heading into multi-level transformative objectives.

**CRITERIA:**
Program/project design is not based on a system map and/or analysis.

**CRITERIA:**
Program/project design is based on a system map and/or system analysis;

Program/project implements solutions that respond to the immediate to mid-term needs within a system;

Programs/project can be stand-alone activities or part of a program that falls under Category 3.

**CRITERIA:**
Program/project design is based on a system map and/or systems analysis and implements a multi-stakeholder approach aimed at achieving structural change (i.e., a change of actors participating in a system, the services or service quality offered by system actors, the communication between system actors, power distribution in the system, or rules regulating the system).

Programming that works on transformation of system characteristics with an inclusive multi-stakeholder and multi-sectoral approach. This can be applied to the transformation of a specific key variable in a system or to the facilitation of a transformation process or a whole system.
There are local, regional, national and global food systems (Fsys).

Fsys represent all activities, actors, and their interlinkages including production, processing, transporting, and consuming food. Fsys also address food waste, food preferences by consumers, research and development into farming technologies, farm investments, and food security and nutrition, which lies at the heart of our work. Internal and external drivers shape and modify Fsys. External drivers can include climate, conflict, or economic Shocks; whereas, internal drivers can include farm production and local food demand. There is increasing interest in facilitating Fsys approaches, i.e., bringing production and consumption together to achieve the SDGs.⁶
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TERM</th>
<th>DEFINITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complex adaptive system</td>
<td>A complex adaptive system is a dynamic network of interactions, but the behavior of the ensemble may not be predictable according to the behavior of the components. It is adaptive in that the individual and collective behavior mutate and self-organize in accordance to the change-initiating micro-event or collection of events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food system actors</td>
<td>System actors include every individual, group, and organization that is involved in or affected by the food system. Through their actions and interactions they shape, reshape, or negatively affect the food system. Identifying the interests and influences of each actor and their underlying power dynamics and structures helps inform engagement strategies. <em>(Source: <a href="https://edepot.wur.nl/541410">https://edepot.wur.nl/541410</a>)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food system behavior</td>
<td>The elements within food systems do not act independently. As elements interact, systems reveal patterns of behavior that determine outcomes. Examining causal relationships make it easier to understand system behaviour and to identify leverage points for system change. <em>(Source: <a href="https://edepot.wur.nl/541410">https://edepot.wur.nl/541410</a>)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food system characteristics:</td>
<td>A food system has many elements, including drivers, activities, and outcomes. One needs to understand the trends in these elements (as measured by key indicators) as well as synergies and trade-offs between them. <em>(Source: <a href="https://edepot.wur.nl/541410">https://edepot.wur.nl/541410</a>).</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key variable</td>
<td>A key variable is a factor, sector or market in a food system. Within our food systems approach, we zoom in and address specific key variables for system transformation. In comparison, leverage points are those which we identify with the highest impact for transformation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leverage points</td>
<td>Building on the notion that a small shift in one thing can produce big changes in everything, leverage points are areas of a system where interventions have the highest potential for impact, considering one’s resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural change</td>
<td>A change in a system’s structure, i.e., a change of who participates in a system, what services or service quality is offered by system actors, how system actors communicate with each other, how power is distributed in the system, or which rules regulate the system.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Glossary of Terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>A system is a holistic unit that is greater than the sum of its parts. “A system is a group of interacting or interrelated elements that act according to a set of rules to form a unified whole. A system has structure, function, behaviour, characteristics and interconnectivity.” (Wikipedia).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systematic project design</td>
<td>The project/program is based on a comprehensive assessment of how a system does and does not work. It addresses all key levers for transformation comprehensively, rather than selecting a few focal levers and leaving others unexplored.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic project design</td>
<td>The project/program works to change the system status as well as the system trajectory. It does so by working with local system actors to achieve structural change, e.g., by improving the accountability of government and private-sector service providers, improving power relationships and feedback loops between actors in the system, or improving the profitability, affordability, and accessibility of private-sector services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic project design</td>
<td>The systemic approach works with facilitation measures (such as applied or market research, networking and dialogue forums, business development, organizational capacity building, carefully designed subsidies) as opposed to direct delivery measures (such as individual training or food distributions), although a systems approach may also involve the latter on a temporary basis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems thinking</td>
<td>Looking at systems from the perspective of the whole system, various subsystems and the recurring patterns or relationships between subsystems. A key part of systems thinking is feedback loops and complex adaptive systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformation</td>
<td>Structural trajectories change of a status quo, a continuous process.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RECOMMENDED READING

**Food System Analysis:**

- Food Systems Decision Support tool – Wageningen University & KIT Royal Institute  

- FS-TIP Food Systems Analysis Toolkit - The Rockefeller Foundation  
  [https://www.rockefellerfoundation.org/fs-tip-food-systems-analysis-toolkit/](https://www.rockefellerfoundation.org/fs-tip-food-systems-analysis-toolkit/)

**Further relevant toolboxes:**

- FAO. Enabling sustainable food systems. Innovator’s handbook (with WHHs Bhoomika example, India)  

- WHH’s Market System Development Toolbox (internal access only)  

**WHH orientation papers:**

- Sustainable Food and Nutrition Security  

- Rural Development  

- Promoting equitable, resilient and sustainable food systems (incl. policy asks)  

**Understanding Food System and relevant sub-systems:**

- What is the food system?- Centre for Food Policy, London  
## RECOMMENDED READING

**UNFSS – National commitments:**
Country Statement, National Pathway, contact for in-country Coordination
https://www.unfoodsystemshub.org/member-state-dialogue/en

UNFSS: Registry of Country Commitments
https://foodsystems.community/commitment-registry/

UN Food Systems Hub
https://www.unfoodsystemshub.org/en

**Ceres 2030: Deep Dives into the Nexus of Food Systems, Climate Change and Diets:**
Ceres2030 – Sustainable Solutions to End Hunger

Country Case Ethiopia
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/368663271_Ceres2030_Deep_Dives_into_the_Nexus_of_Food_Systems_Climate_Change_and_Diets_Achieving_Sustainable_Food_Systems_in_a_Global_Crisis_ETHIOPIA

Country Diagnostic Report: Ethiopia

Country Case Malawi

Country Diagnostic Report: Malawi

**Country Specific secondary data information:**
Food Systems Dashboard developed by GAIN and Johns Hopkins University
https://www.foodsystemsdashboard.org/

**Global Hunger Index (GHI)**
Global Hunger Index (GHI) - peer-reviewed annual publication designed to comprehensively measure and track hunger at the global, regional, and country levels
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